

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,053	06/28/2000	Eric Lauzon	584-1027	5671
75	90 09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
William M Lee Jr			HARTMAN JR, RONALD D	
Barnes & Thorn P O Box 2786	burg		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60690-2786			2121	

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/606,053	LAUZON ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ronald D Hartman Jr.	2121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See Mf R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office a	EXTENSION extension action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furth	er-consideration-and/or-search-(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. —Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amen	dment			
-5.⊠ -The a)⊡ affidavit, b)⊡ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NOT plac	e the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newl	У			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			1			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u> </u>				
10. Other:	post	1 po				
	Anth	ony Knight				
	Supervisor	/ Patent Examiner				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's reassertion that the email message, taught by Edwards, is not the same as the message claimed by the applicant is not believed to be persuasive. That is, the position of the examiner is that the claim only sets forth the need for a message, which is passed using a communication protocol, and the claims are not exlusionary to email messages. Therefore, since emails are communicated using a protocol such as SMTP, a known signaling protocl, the emails, or email messages, are viewed to be messages that adequately contemplete the claimed invention set forth by at least claim 1 since the specific communication protocol under which the message is communicated is not viewed to be a feature that would provide patentability over the applied prior art of record.

For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claims rejected: 1-11 and 14-15

Claims withdrawn from consideration: 12-13 and 16-24